



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-------------------|--|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/840,224 | 05/07/2004 | Stephen Mark Mueller | P24943 (LB1042) 9675 | | |
| 7055 CREENBLUM | 7590 02/27/2007 1 & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C. | | EXAMINER | | |
| 1950 ROLANI | D CLARKE PLACE | | NGUYEN, QUYNH H | | |
| RESTON, VA | 20191 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2614 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | , | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| 4 | | | 02/27/2007 | ELECTRONIC | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

gbpatent@gbpatent.com pto@gbpatent.com

Advisory Action

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|----------------|--|--|
| 10/840,224 | MUELLER ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Quynh H. Nguyen | 2614 | | |

| | 1 | | |
|---|--|--|---|
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Quynh H. Nguyen | 2614 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 05 February 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS | APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO | R ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: | wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c | idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin | g date of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire! Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | g date of the final rejecti | on. |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | | LINGI KEPET WAS I | ILLD WITTING |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for repty origi r than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | ns of the date of e appeal. Since |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u> | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) | nsideration and/or search (see NO | will <u>not</u> be entered be TE below); | ecause |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or | • • | ducing or simplifying | the issues for |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | ected claims. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | mpliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | · | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: None. | | ll be entered and an e | explanation of |
| Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <i>None</i> . AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | • | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good answas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | nt before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav | otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is | ot be entered s necessary and |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. So | al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1 | ils to provide a 1). |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | • | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. | | n condition for allowar | nce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). | | |
| 13. Other: | | Dunal Halon | 111100 |
| | | Duysh Halg | ugen |
| | | Quynh H. Nguyen 571-272-7489 | |
| | | | |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: New limitations that were introduced after the Final Office action raise new issues that would require further consideration. For example, "wherein the personal caller identification information is loadable by theuser to the central, network-based personal address book".